

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/768,367 01/30/2004 Heiner Philipp Luxem 600.1279 6539 23280 12/09/2004 EXAMINER DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC TRUONG, THANH K 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10018 3721

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/768,367	LUXEM ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Thanh K Truong	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	ptember 2004.	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
233 and altability detailed defined action for a flot of the section deposition for foodfood.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	
3) 🔀 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/768,367

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on September 23, 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 15-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 9 and 14 recited: "controlling a speed of the wrapping machine as a function of the size of the incoming gap". The term "function" is vague and indefinite, because it is unclear what function is the Applicant referring to. The term "function" does not clearly define the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 9 and 14, the phrase "determining a size of an incoming gap" is vague and indefinite, because it is unclear how to determining the size of the incoming gap? What is the means to achieve this step?

Application/Control Number: 10/768,367

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 1 and 14 recited the phrase: "create at least one empty bag", and it is unclear how the wrapping machine, that is being claimed in the preamble, create an empty bag. It appears that "creating an empty bag" is the essential feature of the claimed invention, but there is no support in the specification to clearly explain how the wrapping machine creates an empty bag, and there is no support to link the wrapping machine and the empty bag in the claims. Is the bag created out of the same material that is used to wrap the printed product? Is the bag come from a supply of preformed bag or it is being manufactured by the wrapping machine? Etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hannon (5,628,166) in view of Hermann (3,607,547).

Hannon discloses a method for wrapping printed product but does not expressly disclose the controlling the speed of the wrapping machine base on the detection of product gap on the feeding conveyor.

Hermann discloses a method in which the feeding conveyor gap is detected and in turn signaling the control apparatus to regulate the speed, such as decelerating, of the down stream equipment (column 1, lines 46-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/768,367

Art Unit: 3721

one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Hannon apparatus so that the gap of the feeding conveyor will control the speed of the wrapping machine to reduce machine jamming and to improve production.

The modified Hannon further discloses that the speed of the wrapping machine can be accelerated, decelerated according to the gap detected (variable-speed drive; idling or minimum speed imply that machine capable of accelerating or decelerating).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claims 2-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh K Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tkt November 29, 2004. / Rinaldi I. Ráda Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700